

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/925,838	08/08/2001	Andrew John Stentz	PH01-01-02	3872	
27774 / 7.	590 10/23/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MAYER, FORTKORT & WILLIAMS, PC 251 NORTH AVENUE WEST			HUGHES, DI	HUGHES, DEANDRA M	
2ND FLOOR	VENUE WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WESTFIELD,	NJ 07090		3663		
	•		DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ر الم			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
Advisory Action	09/925,838	STENTZ, ANDREW	JOHN
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Deandra M Hughes	3663	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officianely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Circles.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriation of the final C	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	the same of the sa	see NOTE below);	•
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		•
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:	•		
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examin	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449)Paper No(s). 🖞	<u>2</u> .))	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	(<u>//</u>	
		Deandra M. Hughes (703) 306-4175	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument is not found convincing. The crux of applicant's argument is that the prior art (Drake US 6,377,394) is not enabling for AGC schemes that can use feedback, feed-forward o combination of feedforward and feedback (pg. 3, lines 1-3). This argument is found not convincing because the enablement of feedback and/or feed-forward schemes are well-known in the art, as is evidenced by the widely accepted and art-recognized book on Erbium-Doped Optical Amplifiers (Desurvire - Erbium Doped Fiber Amplifiers Principles and Applications, 1994). For the applicant's convenience, a copy of the relevant section of Desurvire is supplied herewith..

Continuation of 10. Other: Erbium-Doped Fiber Amplifiers Principles and Applications, Emmanuel Desurvire. 1994. pgs. 469-480.